

Antimicrobial Chemotherapy | Full-Length Text

Evaluation of commercial, customized microdilution plates for Ureaplasma parvum, Ureaplasma urealyticum, and Mycoplasma hominis antimicrobial susceptibility testing and determination of antimicrobial resistance prevalence in France

Sabine Pereyre,^{1,2} Nadège Hénin,^{1,2} Amandine Dolzy,² Jennifer Guiraud,^{1,2} Cécile Laurier-Nadalié,² Marie Gardette,² Cécile Bébéar,^{1,2} for the investigator group

AUTHOR AFFILIATIONS See affiliation list on p. 11.

ABSTRACT Antimicrobial susceptibility testing (AST) of human mycoplasmas using microdilution is time-consuming. In this study, we compared the performance of MICRONAUT-S plates (Biocentric-Bruker) designed for AST of Ureaplasma parvum, Ureaplasma urealyticum, and Mycoplasma hominis with the results using the Clinical & Laboratory Standards Institute (CLSI) reference method. Then, we investigated the prevalence and mechanisms of resistance to tetracyclines, fluoroquinolones, and macrolides in France in 2020 and 2021. The two methods were compared using 60 strains. For the resistance prevalence study, U. parvum-, U. urealyticum-, and M. hominispositive clinical specimens were collected for 1 month each year in 22 French diagnostic laboratories. MICs were determined using the MICRONAUT-S plates. The tet(M) gene was screened using PCR, and fluoroquinolone resistance-associated mutations were screened using PCR and Sanger sequencing. Comparing the methods, 99.5% (679/680) MICs obtained using the MICRONAUT-S plates concurred with those obtained using the CLSI reference method. For 90 M. hominis isolates, the tetracycline, levofloxacin, and moxifloxacin resistance rates were 11.1%, 2.2%, and 2.2%, respectively, with no clindamycin resistance. For 248 U. parvum isolates, the levofloxacin and moxifloxacin resistance rates were 5.2% and 0.8%, respectively; they were 2.9% and 1.5% in 68 U. urealyticum isolates. Tetracycline resistance in U. urealyticum (11.8%) was significantly (P < 0.001) higher than in U. parvum (1.2%). No macrolide resistance was observed. Overall, the customized MICRONAUT-S plates are a reliable, convenient tool for AST of human mycoplasmas. Tetracycline and fluoroquinolone resistance remain limited in France. However, the prevalence of levofloxacin and moxifloxacin resistance has increased significantly in Ureaplasma spp. from 2010 to 2015 and requires monitoring.

IMPORTANCE Antimicrobial susceptibility testing of human urogenital mycoplasmas using the CLSI reference broth microdilution method is time-consuming and requires the laborious preparation of antimicrobial stock solutions. Here, we validated the use of reliable, convenient plates designed for antimicrobial susceptibility testing that allows the simultaneous determination of the MICs of eight antibiotics of interest. We then investigated the prevalence and mechanisms of resistance of each of these bacteria to tetracyclines, fluoroquinolones, and macrolides in France in 2020 and 2021. We showed that the prevalence of levofloxacin and moxifloxacin resistance has increased significantly in *Ureaplasma* spp. from 2010 to 2015 and requires ongoing monitoring.

KEYWORDS susceptibility testing, *Mycoplasma*, *Ureaplasma*, antimicrobial resistance detection, minimum inhibitory concentration determination

Editor Nathan A. Ledeboer, Medical College of Wisconsin, Milwaukee, Wisconsin, USA

Address correspondence to Sabine Pereyre, Sabine.pereyre@u-bordeaux.fr.

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

Received 12 February 2024 Accepted 6 May 2024 Published 4 June 2024

Copyright © 2024 American Society for Microbiology. All Rights Reserved.



U reaplasma spp. and Mycoplasma hominis can cause urogenital, neonatal, and extragenital infections in immunocompromised patients. Only three antibiotic classes, tetracyclines, fluoroquinolones, and macrolides, and related antibiotics are potent against these bacteria. However, acquired resistance to tetracyclines and fluoroquinolones has been reported worldwide, which was associated with acquisition of the *tetracycline*(M) [*tet*(M)] gene and the selection of mutations in the quinolone resistance-determining regions (QRDRs) of the gyrA, gyrB, parC, and parE genes (1–11).

Previously, we reported a limited prevalence of tetracycline and fluoroquinolone resistance in France in 2010–2015 in *Ureaplasma* spp. and *Mycoplasma hominis*, but acquired macrolide resistance was not evaluated (12). However, determining the minimum inhibitory concentrations (MICs) using the reference broth microdilution method is time-consuming, requiring laborious preparation of antimicrobial stock solutions (13). The Biocentric–Bruker laboratory commercializes microdilution plates designed upon request that contain concentrations of lyophilized antimicrobials for MIC determination.

In this study, we first evaluated the performance of customized MICRONAUT-S plates (Biocentric–Bruker) designed upon request for antimicrobial susceptibility testing (AST) of *Ureaplasma parvum*, *Ureaplasma urealyticum*, and *M. hominis*, and compared the results with MIC determination according to the CLSI guidelines used as reference (13). Then, we investigated the prevalence and mechanisms of resistance of each species to tetracyclines, fluoroquinolones, and macrolides in France in 2020 and 2021.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Strains used for the evaluation of the MICRONAUT-S plates

For comparison purposes, 60 strains were selected (20 each of *M. hominis*, *U. parvum*, and *U. urealyticum*), including three reference strains (*M. hominis* PG21 ATCC 23114, *U. parvum* strain 27 ATCC 27815, and *U. urealyticum* T-strain 960 ATCC 27618) and 19 clinical isolates of each species previously collected at the French National Reference Centre (NRC) for bacterial sexually transmitted infections (STIs) in Bordeaux, France (Table 1). Some of the selected strains harbored the *tet*(M) gene, known to be associated with tetracycline resistance in mycoplasmas (1), or mutations in the *gyrA*, *gyrB*, *parC*, and *parE* gene QRDRs.

Collection and culture of clinical specimens

A 1-month systematic prospective collection of remnants of *Ureaplasma*- and *M. hominis*-positive specimens was performed between September 15 and October 15, 2020 and 2021, in nine French public microbiology diagnostic laboratories and 13 private laboratories that perform *Ureaplasma* spp. and *M. hominis* detection. The remnants of *Ureaplasma*- and *M. hominis*-positive specimens were sent to the French STIs NRC at -20° C. Patient age, sex, type of sample, and sample collection site were anonymously collated by the microbiologist of each laboratory when the specimen was detected to be positive. At the French STIs NRC, the isolates were regrown from 100 µL thawed specimens inoculated in 900 µL Shepard broth and Hayflick medium supplemented with arginine, respectively (13, 14), along with two additional 10^{-1} dilutions in the same medium. *U. parvum* and *U. urealyticum* species were identified using real-time TaqMan PCR, as previously described (15).

MIC determination using the MICRONAUT-S plates

The MICRONAUT-S plates (Biocentric–Bruker) are microtitration plates that are an *in vitro* diagnostic device for automated or manual AST (https://www.bruker.com/fr/productsand-solutions/microbiology-and-diagnostics/antimicrobial-susceptibility-testing.html). Susceptibility testing is based on rehydration of antibiotics by adding a standardized bacterial suspension. In our case, we used a Research Use Only (RUO) custom plate (reference E1-LEG-MYC) designed upon request by the French STIs NRC for AST of *M*.

| Species | Isolate no. | tet(M) PCR | | | Mutations in the QRDR of | |
|------------------|-------------|------------|-------------------|-------------------|------------------------------|-------------------------|
| | | | GyrA ^a | GyrB ^a | ParC ^a | ParE ^a |
| M. hominis | PG21 | Neg | WT | WT | WT | WT |
| | 5571 | Pos | WT | WT | WT | WT |
| | 5589 | Pos | WT | WT | WT | WT |
| | 5615 | Pos | WT | WT | WT | WT |
| | 5642 | Pos | WT | WT | WT | WT |
| | 5677 | Pos | WT | WT | WT | WT |
| | 5700 | Neg | S153(83)L | WT | S91(80)I & K144(134)R | WT |
| | 5738 | Pos | WT | WT | WT | WT |
| | 5778 | Neg | WT | WT | WT | R23(22)S & D426(420)N |
| | 5866 | Pos | WT | WT | WT | WT |
| | 5995 | Pos | WT | WT | K144R | WT |
| | 6188 | Pos | WT | WT | K144R | WT |
| | 6390 | Neg | S153(83)L | WT | S91(80)I & K144(134)R | WT |
| | 6510 | Pos | WT | WT | WT | WT |
| | 6558 | Neg | WT | WT | E95(84)G | WT |
| | 6774 | Neg | S153(83)L | WT | S91(80)I | WT |
| | MM19-001 | Neg | WT | WT | WT | WT |
| | MM19-050 | Neg | WT | WT | WT | WT |
| | MM19-084 | Neg | WT | WT | WT | WT |
| | MM19-128 | Neg | WT | WT | WT | WT |
| U. parvum | ATCC 27815 | Neg | WT | WT | WT | WT |
| | 5696 | Neg | WT | E502(487)Q | WT | V417(410)T & D427(420)N |
| | 5759 | Pos | WT | WT | WT | WT |
| | 6135 | Pos | WT | WT | WT | WT |
| | 6178 | Pos | WT | WT | WT | WT |
| | 6182 | Pos | WT | WT | WT | WT |
| | 6183 | Pos | WT | WT | WT | WT |
| | 6240 | Nea | WT | WT | S83(80)L | WT |
| | 6271 | Nea | O104(87)K | WT | S83(80)L | V417(410)T |
| | 6394 | Pos | WT | WT | WT | WT |
| | 6405 | Pos | WT | WT | WT | WT |
| | 6594 | Nea | WT | WT | WT | WT |
| | 6620 | Pos | WT | WT | WT | WT |
| | 6793 | Nea | WT | WT | S83(80)L | V417(410)T |
| | 6930 | Nea | WT | WT | WT | WT |
| | MM18-236 | Nea | WT | WT | S83(80)L | WT |
| | MM19-002 | Nea | WT | WT | WT | WT |
| | MM19-047 | Nea | WT | WT | WT | WT |
| | MM19-061 | Neg | WT | WT | WT | WT |
| | MM19-075 | Nea | WT | WT | WT | WT |
| II urealyticum | ATCC 27618 | Neg | WT | WT | WT | WT |
| o. arcalyticalli | 5570 | Neg | WT | WT | WT | ND |
| | 5607 | Pos | WT | WT | WT | ND |
| | 5701 | Neg | WT | WT | WT | WT |
| | 6175 | Neg | WT | WT | 583(80) | WT |
| | 6265 | Nea | WT | WT | WT | ND |
| | 6362 | Neg | WT | WT | WT | WT |
| | 6371 | Neg | W/T | W/T | WT | ND |
| | 6584 | Neg | vv i \\\/⊤ | W/T | WT | WT |
| | 6628 | Neg | ۷۷ I \\/T | VV I \//T | WT | |
| | 6697 | Neg | W/T | WT | WT | WT |
| | 0097 | neg | V V I | VV I | 44.1 | V V I |

TABLE 1 Characteristics of the 60 strains used to compare the performance between the MICRONAUT-S plates and CLSI broth dilution method^b

(Continued on next page)

| Species | Isolate no. | tet(M) PCR | | | Mutations in the QRD | R of |
|---------|-------------|------------|-------------------|-------------------|----------------------|-------------------|
| | | | GyrA ^a | GyrB ^a | ParC ^a | ParE ^a |
| | 6778 | Pos | WT | WT | WT | WT |
| | MM18-014 | Neg | WT | WT | S83(80)L | WT |
| | MM18-267 | Pos | WT | WT | S83(80)L | ND |
| | MM19-003 | Neg | WT | WT | WT | ND |
| | MM19-046 | Neg | WT | WT | WT | ND |
| | MM19-065 | Neg | WT | WT | WT | WT |
| | MM19-100 | Neg | WT | WT | WT | ND |
| | MM19-110 | Neg | WT | WT | WT | WT |
| | MM19-226 | Neg | WT | WT | WT | ND |

TABLE 1 Characteristics of the 60 strains used to compare the performance between the MICRONAUT-S plates and CLSI broth dilution method^b (Continued)

^aGyrA, GyrB, ParC, and ParE residues are numbered according to the *M. hominis* or *Ureaplasma* species numbering with the *E. coli* numbering in parentheses. ^bWT, wild type; ND, not determined. Mutations known to be associated with fluoroquinolone resistance are presented in bold.

hominis and *Ureaplasma* spp. isolates. The plates included nine lyophilized antibiotics, eight of which were potentially potent against mycoplasmas and ureaplasmas, whereas rifampicin was intrinsically inactive on Mollicutes (Table S1). The concentration ranges were 0.031–16 µg/mL for tetracycline, 0.016–16 µg/mL for doxycycline and erythromycin, 0.008–16 µg/mL for azithromycin, 0.016–4 µg/mL for clindamycin, 0.002–8 µg/mL for levofloxacin, 0.004–8 µg/mL for moxifloxacin and telithromycin, and 0.002–4 µg/mL for rifampicin. The plates also included two wells with no antibiotic. One well was used as a positive growth control using medium inoculated with the tested strain, and the other was used as a negative control using noninoculated medium.

Calibrated bacterial suspension was first prepared by inoculating 100 µL of each isolate culture in 900 µL of Shepard for the two Ureaplasma species or Hayflick supplemented with arginine media for *M. hominis* before incubation (13, 14). When the colored indicator started changing color, 900 µL of suspension was aliquoted and frozen at -80° C. The remaining 100 μ L was used to determine the bacterial load by performing 10-fold serial dilutions (from 10^{-1} to 10^{-10}) in the proper medium. After a few days of incubation, the load of the frozen tubes was determined as the highest dilution in which the color changed. A 20-mL volume of calibrated 10⁴ colony-forming units (CFU)/mL or 10⁵ CFU/mL inoculum of each strain was then prepared by dilution from the calibrated frozen tubes. Broths inoculated with Ureaplasma spp. were incubated for 1 h at 37°C, whereas M. hominis cultures were incubated for 2 h at 37°C (13). Then, each well of the MICRONAUT-S plates was inoculated with 100-µL culture, except the negative control well, which was inoculated with 100 µL noninoculated medium. At the same time, the same calibrated culture of each strain was used to determine MICs according to the reference method (13, 14). MICRONAUT-S plates were incubated at 37°C in an ambient air incubator. The plates were read as soon as the positive growth control well without antibiotic showed a color change, i.e., after an approximately 24-h incubation for Ureaplasma spp. and 48-h incubation for M. hominis. The MIC was determined as the lowest antibiotic concentration that inhibited the color change at the time the growth control well showed a color change.

To evaluate the reproducibility of the MICRONAUT-S plates, the plates were inoculated daily for 10 days with the three reference strains using a 10^5 UFC/mL inoculum and MIC data were compiled.

All experiments were performed using the same batch of MICRONAUT-S plates, except the reproducibility study, which used a different batch.

Characterization of tetracycline- and fluoroquinolone-resistant strains

DNA was extracted from broth cultures using the MagNA Pure 96 DNA and viral NA small-volume kit and the MagNA Pure 96 instrument (Roche Diagnostics). The presence of the *tet*(M) gene was determined using PCR as previously described (16). The *gyrA*, *gyrB*, *parC*, and *parE* gene QRDRs were amplified and sequenced as previously described

(12). Nucleotide sequences were compared to those of the reference strains *M. hominis* PG21, *U. parvum* strain 27, and *U. urealyticum* T-strain 960 (GenBank accession numbers FP236530, CP000942, and AAYN02000002, respectively).

Statistical analysis

Data on antimicrobial resistance-associated mutations were presented as percentages and 95% confidence intervals (95% CI) and calculated using the exact binomial distribution. The frequencies were compared using χ^2 or Fisher's exact tests, as appropriate. We considered *P* < 0.05 as significant. Statistical analyses were performed on the biostaTGV website (https://biostatgv.sentiweb.fr/).

RESULTS

Evaluation of the performance of the MICRONAUT-S plates

First, we compared the MICs of 60 characterized strains between the MICRONAUT-S plates and the CLSI reference method. The 60 strains included strains harboring the *tet*(M) gene and strains harboring mutations in the *gyrA*, *gyrB*, *parC*, or *parE* gene QRDRs (Table 1). Based on CLSI M43, two bacterial inocula, i.e., 10^4 and 10^5 CFU/mL, were assessed.

All MICs obtained using the MICRONAUT-S plates concurred with those using the CLSI method at each inoculum, with no more than a 2-fold dilution difference and no trend for one method compared with the other (Table 2; Tables S2 to S4; Fig. S1 to S3). The only exception among the 680 comparisons was the azithromycin MIC of *U. urealyticum* isolate 5570 with the 10⁴ CFU/mL inoculum, which was 0.25 and 1 µg/mL using the reference method and MICRONAUT-S plates, respectively (Table 2; Table S4; Figure S3). No strains showed a >2-fold difference in MIC.

Among the 10 *M. hominis* strains studied, a 2-fold dilution difference led once to a tetracycline categorization of "susceptible" using the MICRONAUT-S plates on a strain harboring the *tet*(M) gene compared with an accurate tetracycline categorization of "resistant" using the reference method (isolate Mh 5615, MIC = 8 and 4 µg/mL using the reference method and MICRONAUT-S plates, respectively) (Table S2). This discrepancy was not observed using the 10⁵ CFU/mL inoculum. There were no other discrepancies (Tables S2 to S4).

Among the 40 *Ureaplasma* strains studied, there were 6 discrepancies of susceptibility categorization of the 480 comparisons performed. In all cases, it was due to a 2-fold dilution MIC difference (see Tables S2 and S3 for details). When only considering the 10^5 CFU/mL inoculum, only two discrepancies remained (*U. urealyticum* 5607 for tetracycline testing and *U. parvum* 6793 for levofloxacin testing). In both cases, the categorization of "resistant" using the CLSI method was in accordance with the presence of the *tet*(M) gene or fluoroquinolone resistance-associated mutations.

Overall, no differences were greater than a nonsignificant 2-fold dilution between the two methods in 99.5% of the 680 comparisons performed (Table 2); this validates the use of the MICRONAUT-S plates for determining the MICs for *M. hominis* and the two *Ureaplasma* species considered. Because the results were better using the 10^5 CFU/mL than the 10^4 CFU/mL inoculum (one versus five 2-fold dilution differences with change in susceptibility category and no versus one 4-fold dilution difference, respectively, Table 2), the 10^5 CFU/mL inoculum was used to evaluate resistance prevalence in 2020 and 2021.

In addition, reproducibility of the MICRONAUT-S plates was assessed with the three reference strains using the 10^5 CFU/mL inoculum for 10 days. For each species and each antibiotic, there was no more than 2-fold dilution difference (Table S5).

Clinical isolates included in the 2020 and 2021 resistance prevalence studies

A total of 306 and 259 specimens initially deemed positive for *Ureaplasma* or *M. hominis* by culture in 2020 and 2021 were collected from the nine and 13 participating microbiology diagnostic laboratories, respectively (Table S6). Specimens were mainly

| meth |
|--------|
| nce |
| efere |
| LSI |
| р О |
| th th |
| d wi |
| are |
| omp |
| ns c |
| strai |
| zed |
| teri |
| larad |
| 0 ch |
| he 6 |
| ont |
| ates |
| -S pl |
| AUT- |
| SON, |
| MICF |
| e of l |
| ance |
| orm |
| Perf |
| Е 2 |
| TABL |

| Species Antibiotics Numl strain M. hominis Tetracycline 16 (8) M. hominis Tetracycline 11 (5) Doxycycline 11 (5) 20' Moxifloxacin 18 (9) 20' Doxycycline 11 (5) 20' Levofloxacin 18 (9) 27 (5) U. parvum Tetracycline 16 (8) Doxycycline 17 (8) Levofloxacin 17 (8) | nber (%) of ins with | | | | | | Inoculi | | | |
|--|-------------------------|-------------------|------------------------|-----------------------|-------|----------------|-------------------|-----------------------|------------------------|-------|
| strain ident M. <i>hominis</i> Tetracycline 16 (80 Doxycycline 11 (5) Levofloxacin 18 (90 Moxifloxacin 18 (90 Clindamycin 8 (40° Clindamycin 8 (40° Total 57 (5) U. <i>parvum</i> Tetracycline 16 (80 Doxycycline 17 (8) Levofloxacin 18 (9) | ins with | Number (%) of st. | rains with a 2-fold | Number (%) of | Total | Number (%) of | Number (%) of | strains with a 2-fold | Number (%) of strains | Total |
| ident M. hominis Tetracycline 16 (8 Doxycycline 11 (5) Levofloxacin 18 (9(Moxifloxacin 18 (90 Clindamycin 8 (40° Clindamycin 8 (40° Total 57 (5) U. parvum Tetracycline 16 (8) Doxycycline 17 (8) Levofloxacin 18 (9) | Atical MICs | dilution (| difference | strains with a 4-fold | | strains with | dilutior | ו difference | with a 4-fold dilution | |
| M. hominis Tetracycline 16 (80) M. hominis Tetracycline 11 (52) Doxycycline 11 (63) 13 (90) Levofloxacin 4 (20) 13 (90) Moxifloxacin 4 (20) 10 (20) U. parvum Total 57 (53) U. parvum Tetracycline 16 (80) Levofloxacin 17 (80) Levofloxacin 13 (90) | | With no change | With a change in | dilution difference | | identical MICs | With no change | With a change | difference | |
| M. hominis Tetracycline 16 (80) M. hominis Tetracycline 11 (55) Doxycycline 11 (55) (57) Levofloxacin 4 (20) (20) Moxifloxacin 4 (20) (20) Moxifloxacin 4 (20) (20) Moxifloxacin 4 (20) (20) Moxifloxacin 4 (20) (20) U. parvum Tetracycline 16 (81) Doxycycline 17 (81) Levofloxacin 18 (9) Levofloxacin 18 (9) | | in susceptibility | susceptibility | | | | in susceptibility | in susceptibility | | |
| M. hominis Tetracycline 16 (80 Doxycycline 11 (55 Levofloxacin 18 (90 Moxifloxacin 4 (20° Clindamycin 8 (40° U. parvum Total Doxycycline 16 (81 U. parvum Tetracycline 16 (81 U. parvum Tetracycline 17 (81 Levofloxacin 18 (91 | | category | category | | | | category | category | | |
| Doxycycline 11 (55 Levofloxacin 18 (9(Moxifloxacin 4 (20' Clindamycin 8 (40' Clindamycin 8 (40' Total 57 (5; U. parvum Tetracycline 16 (8(Doxycycline 17 (8) Levofloxacin 18 (9) | 80%) | 3 (15%) | 1 (5%) ^a | 0 | 20 | 14 (70%) | 6 (30%) | 0 | 0 | 20 |
| Levofloxacin 18 (96 Moxifloxacin 4 (20' Clindamycin 8 (40' Total 57 (5; U. parvum Tetracycline 16 (8) Doxycycline 17 (8! Levofloxacin 18 (9) | 55%) | 9 (45%) | 0 | 0 | 20 | 13 (65%) | 7 (35%) | 0 | 0 | 20 |
| Moxifloxacin 4 (209 Clindamycin 8 (406 Total 57 (5; <i>U. parvum</i> Tetracycline 16 (8 Doxycycline 17 (8) Levofloxacin 18 (9) | (%06 | 2 (10%) | 0 | 0 | 20 | 16 (80%) | 4 (20%) | 0 | 0 | 20 |
| Clindamycin 8 (40° Total 57 (5; <i>U. parvum</i> Tetracycline 16 (8(Doxycycline 17 (8) Levofloxacin 18 (9) | 0%C | 16 (80%) | 0 | 0 | 20 | 7 (35%) | 13 (65%) | 0 | 0 | 20 |
| Total 57 (57 <i>U. parvum</i> Tetracycline 16 (80 Doxycycline 17 (81 Levofloxacin 18 (90 | 0%C | 12 (60%) | 0 | 0 | 20 | 7 (35%) | 13 (65%) | 0 | 0 | 20 |
| <i>U. parvum</i> Tetracycline 16 (8(Doxycycline 17 (8: Levofloxacin 18 (9/ | 57%) | 42 (42%) | 1 (1%) | 0 | 100 | 57 (57%) | 43 (43%) | 0 | 0 | 100 |
| Doxycycline 17 (8 [:] Levofloxacin 18 (9 [:] | 30%) | 4 (20%) | 0 | 0 | 20 | 13 (65%) | 7 (35%) | 0 | 0 | 20 |
| Levofloxacin 18 (9 | 85%) | 3 (15%) | 0 | 0 | 20 | 16 (80%) | 4 (20%) | 0 | 0 | 20 |
| | (%06 | 1 (5%) | 1 (5%) ^a | 0 | 20 | 17 (85%) | 3 (15%) | 0 | 0 | 20 |
| Moxifloxacin 17 (85 | 85%) | 3 (15%) | 0 | 0 | 20 | 17 (85%) | 3 (15%) | 0 | 0 | 20 |
| Erythromycin 15 (7 | 75%) | 5 (25%) | 0 | 0 | 20 | 14 (70%) | 6 (30%) | 0 | 0 | 20 |
| Azithromycin 14 (70 | 70%) | 6 (30%) | 0 | 0 | 20 | 14 (70%) | 6 (30%) | 0 | 0 | 20 |
| Total 97 (80 | 80.8%) | 22 (18.3%) | 1 (0.8%) | 0 | 120 | 91 (75.8%) | 29 (24.2%) | 0 | 0 | 120 |
| U. urealyticum Tetracycline 13 (62 | 55%) | 6 (30%) | 1 (5%) ^a | 0 | 20 | 15 (75%) | 4 (20%) | 1 (5%) ^a | 0 | 20 |
| Doxycycline 9 (45% | 5%) | 11 (55%) | 0 | 0 | 20 | 14 (70%) | 6 (30%) | 0 | 0 | 20 |
| Levofloxacin 12 (6 | 50%) | 6 (30%) | 2 (10%) ^{a,b} | 0 | 20 | 19 (95%) | 1 (5%) | 0 | 0 | 20 |
| Moxifloxacin 14 (7) | 20%) | 6 (30%) | 0 | 0 | 20 | 17 (85%) | 3 (15%) | 0 | 0 | 20 |
| Erythromycin 14 (7) | 20%) | 6 (30%) | 0 | 0 | 20 | 12 (60%) | 8 (40%) | 0 | 0 | 20 |
| Azithromycin 14 (7) | 20%) | 5 (25%) | 0 | 1 (5%) | 20 | 13 (65%) | 7 (35%) | 0 | 0 | 20 |
| Total 76 (6: | 53.3%) | 40 (33.3%) | 3 (2.5%) | 1 (0.8%) | 120 | 90 (75%) | 29 (24.2%) | 1 (0.8%) | 0 | 120 |

cervicovaginal swabs in women and semen in men (Table S7). A total of 204 and 210 isolates were grown at the French STIs NRC in the 2020 and 2021 studies, respectively. Three cultures in the 2020 study and five cultures in the 2021 study were a mixturue of both *U. parvum* and *U. urealyticum* (Tables S6 and S7). Because the two *Ureaplasma* species could not be separated, these cultures were not used for further experiments. Ultimately, a total of 201 and 205 single isolates, including 50 and 40 *M. hominis* isolates, 123 and 125 *U. parvum* isolates, and 28 and 40 *U. urealyticum* isolates collected from 182 and 192 distinct patients were included in the 2020 and 2021 resistance prevalence studies, respectively (Tables S6 and S7). Of note, *M. hominis* and *Ureaplasma* spp. isolates were concomitantly grown from 19 and 13 specimens in 2020 and 2021, respectively (Table S7).

Prevalence of macrolide, tetracycline, and fluoroquinolone resistance

Table 3 and Tables S8 to S10 show the data using the MICRONAUT-S plates for the 406 isolates. Besides the intrinsic resistance of the two *Ureaplasma* species to clindamycin and of *M. hominis* to 14- and 15-membered macrolides, no acquired resistance to erythromycin or to clindamycin was noted (Table 3).

In *M. hominis*, the tetracycline resistance rate was 8.0% (95% Cl, 3.2–18.8) in 2020 and 15.0% (95% Cl, 7.1–29.1) in 2021, with no significant difference between the years (Table 3). The resistance prevalence for levofloxacin (2%, 95% Cl, 0.3–10.5) and moxifloxacin (2.5%, 95% Cl, 0.4–12.9) were identical in 2020 and 2021. Regarding *U. parvum*, no tetracycline resistance was detected in 2020, and the resistance rate was 2.4% (95% Cl, 0.8–6.8) in 2021. The levofloxacin resistant rate was 5.7% in 2020 and 4.8% in 2021; this

TABLE 3 MICs₅₀, MICs₅₀, and prevalence of antibiotic resistance in *M. hominis*, *U. parvum*, and *U. urealyticum* in France in 2020 and 2021^e

| | | | M. ho | ominis | | U. pa | rvum | | U. ure | alyticum |
|--------------|-----------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-----------------|----------------------|-------------------|-----------------------------|-------------------|-------------------|------------------------------|
| | | | (50 isolate | es in 2020, | | (123 isolate | es in 2020, | | (28 isolat | tes in 2020, |
| | | | 40 isolate | es in 2021) | 125 isolates in 2021 | | es in 2021) | | 40 isolat | es in 2021) |
| Antibiotics | Year(s) of collection | MIC ₅₀ | MIC ₉₀ | Resistance | MIC ₅₀ | MIC ₉₀ | Resistance | MIC ₅₀ | MIC ₉₀ | Resistance |
| | | | | % [95% CI] | | | % [95% CI] | | | % [95% CI] |
| Tetracycline | 2020 | 0.125 | 0.25 | 8.0 [3.2–18.8] | 0.25 | 0.5 | 0 ^{<i>a</i>} | 0.5 | 2 | 10.7 ^a [3.7–27.2] |
| | 2021 | 0.125 | 16 | 15.0 [7.1–29.1] | 0.25 | 0.5 | 2.4 ^b [0.8–6.8] | 1 | 2 | 12.5 ^b [5.5–26.1] |
| | 2020-2021 | 0.125 | 8 | 11.1 [5.4–17.9] | 0.25 | 0.5 | 1.2 ^c [0.4–3.5] | 1 | 2 | 11.8 ^c [6.1–21.5] |
| Doxycycline | 2020 | 0.06 | 0.06 | NA | 0.125 | 0.125 | NA | 0.25 | 0.5 | NA |
| | 2021 | 0.03 | 1 | NA | 0.125 | 0.25 | NA | 0.25 | 0.5 | NA |
| | 2020-2021 | 0.03 | 0.5 | NA | 0.125 | 0.125 | NA | 0.25 | 0.5 | NA |
| Levofloxacin | 2020 | 0.25 | 0.5 | 2.0 [0.3–10.5] | 0.5 | 1 | 5,7 ^d [2.8–11.3] | 1 | 1 | 3.6 [0.6–17.7] |
| | 2021 | 0.25 | 0.5 | 2.5 [0.4–12.9] | 0.5 | 1 | 4.8 ^d [2.2–10.1] | 1 | 1 | 2.5 [0.4–12.9] |
| | 2020-2021 | 0.25 | 0.5 | 2.2 [0.6–7.7] | 0.5 | 1 | 5.2 [3.1–8.8] | 1 | 1 | 2.9 [0.8–10.1] |
| Moxifloxacin | 2020 | 0.125 | 0.25 | 2.0 [0.3–10.5] | 0.25 | 0.5 | 0 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0 |
| | 2021 | 0.125 | 0.25 | 2.5 [0.4–12.9] | 0.25 | 0.5 | 1.6 [0.4–5.7] | 0.25 | 0.5 | 2.5 [0.4–12.9] |
| | 2020-2021 | 0.125 | 0.25 | 2.2 [0.6–7.7] | 0.25 | 0.5 | 0.8 [0.2–2.9] | 0.5 | 0.5 | 1.5 [0.3–8.5] |
| Erythromycin | 2020 | - | - | - | 2 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 4 | 0 |
| | 2021 | - | - | - | 4 | 8 | 0 | 4 | 8 | 0 |
| | 2020-2021 | - | - | - | 4 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 8 | 0 |
| Azithromycin | 2020 | - | - | - | 1 | 2 | NA | 1 | 2 | NA |
| | 2021 | - | - | - | 2 | 2 | NA | 2 | 4 | NA |
| | 2020-2021 | - | - | - | 2 | 2 | NA | 2 | 4 | NA |
| Clindamycin | 2020 | 0.125 | 0.125 | 0 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| | 2021 | 0.125 | 0.125 | 0 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| | 2020-2021 | 0.125 | 0.125 | 0 | - | - | - | - | - | - |

^aSignificant differences between resistance percentages according to Fisher's exact tests at P < 0.01.

^bSignificant differences between resistance percentages according to Fisher's exact tests at P < 0.05.

'Significant differences between resistance percentages according to Fisher's exact tests at P < 0.001.

^dFour *U. parvum* isolates (MM20–267 and MM20–279 collected in 2020 and MM21–237 and MM21–247 collected in 2021), which harbored the S83L substitution associated with levofloxacin resistance but had a susceptible MIC of 2 µg/mL, were considered to be resistant to levofloxacin.

^eNA, not applicable (no interpretation criteria according to CLSI); -, intrinsic resistance.

included two and two isolates collected in 2020 and 2021, respectively, with a susceptible MIC of 2 µg/mL, but they were considered resistant because of the presence of a levofloxacin resistance-associated mutation (see below). No moxifloxacin resistance was detected in 2020; the resistance rate was 1.6% (95% Cl, 0.4–5.7) in 2021. For *U. urealyticum*, the rates were 10.7% and 12.5% for tetracycline, 3.6% and 2.5% for levofloxacin, and 2.5% and 0% for moxifloxacin for the two years, respectively. Of note, tetracycline resistance was significantly more prevalent in *U. urealyticum* than in *U. parvum* in 2020 (P < 0.001) and 2021 (P < 0.05). By contrast, no significant difference in levofloxacin or moxifloxacin resistance was observed between the two *Ureaplasma* species.

Molecular characterization of resistant isolates

All 406 isolates collected in 2020 and 2021 were screened by PCR for the presence of the *tet*(M) gene. Isolates categorized as susceptible to tetracycline did not harbor the gene. The *tet*(M) gene was found in all tetracycline-resistant *M. hominis* and *U. parvum* isolates. Among the 68 *U. urealyticum* isolates studied, 2 (2.9%) tetracycline-resistant isolates with tetracycline MICs > 16 µg/mL harbored the *tet*(M) gene, but 6 (8.8%) tetracycline-resistant isolates with tetracycline MICs between 2 and 4 µg/mL did not harbor it.

Regarding levofloxacin susceptibility, 83.3% (75/90) of the *M. hominis* isolates had a MIC of $\leq 0.25 \ \mu$ g/mL (Table S8), whereas 94.0% (233/248) of the *U. parvum* and 95.6% (65/68) of the *U. urealyticum* isolates had a MIC of $\leq 1 \ \mu$ g/mL (Tables S9 and S10). To characterize potential isolates with fluoroquinolone resistance-associated mutations, we sequenced the *gyrA*, *gyrB*, *parC*, and *parE* QRDRs of the 15 *M. hominis* and 18 *Ureaplasma* isolates with a levofloxacin MIC of >0.25 and >1 μ g/mL, respectively (Table 4). No mutations were found in the *gyrA* and *gyrB* QRDRs except a D427N mutation (*Escherichia coli* numbering) in GyrB of the MM21–109 *U. parvum* isolate , along with a S80L mutation in ParC.

In *M. hominis*, the two levofloxacin- and moxifloxacin-resistant isolates harbored mutations in ParC:S80I and K134R substitutions in MM20–002 and K134R only in MM21–219. However, the latter K134R mutation in ParC was present in four other *M. hominis* isolates categorized as susceptible to both antibiotics (Table 4).

In *Ureaplasma* species, all of the levofloxacin-resistant isolates harbored the ParC S80L substitution. Four *U. parvum* isolates (MM20–267, MM20–2789, MM21–237, and MM21–247) also harbored the ParC S80L substitution but were categorized as susceptible to levofloxacin with MICs of 2 µg/mL, which is the levofloxacin breakpoint. In addition, one levofloxacin-resistant *U. parvum* isolate harbored a ParC E84K mutation. The three moxifloxacin-resistant *Ureaplasma* isolates harbored the S80L substitution in ParC.

DISCUSSION

Evaluation of the MICRONAUT-S microdilution plates

According to the CLSI guidelines (13), when determining MICs, broth microdilution assays should use an inoculum of 10⁴–10⁵ CFU/mL and an incubation period of 48–72 h for *M. hominis* and 16–24 h for *Ureaplasma*. Thus, we assessed each inoculum separately using incubation periods of approximately 24 and 48 h for *Ureaplasma* and *M. hominis*, respectively, i.e., as soon as the positive growth control well without an antibiotic showed a color change. Of note, MICs of erythromycin and azithromycin were not evaluated for *M. hominis* because of the intrinsic resistance of this species to 14-and 15-membered macrolides (17). Likewise, the MIC of clindamycin was not evaluated in *Ureaplasma* spp., which are intrinsically resistant to lincosamides. As reported in the results, we validated the MICRONAUT-S plates for all species tested and found that the 10⁵ CFU/mL inoculum was preferred for further tests. As recommended by the CLSI guidelines (13), frequent examination of the positive growth control was also essential because of the tendency for the MIC end point to shift, in tandem with the rapid growth of all species tested. Overall, the use of the MICRONAUT-S plates was less time-consuming than the reference method because it does not require the purchase of antibiotic

| and 2021 | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--|--|---|---|---|---|------------------------------------|--------------------------------|--------------|----------------------|------------------------------------|----------------|
| Strain no. | Year of collection | Species | Sex | Age | Specimen type | Fluor | oquinolone MIC | - | Muta | tion in the QRDRs of | |
| | | | | (years) | | | (hg/mL) | | | | |
| | | | | | | LVX | MXF | GyrA | GyrB | ParC | ParE |
| ATCC 23114 | Reference strain | M. hominis | | | | 0.5 | 0.125 | WT | WT | WT | WT |
| MM20-002 | 2020 | M. hominis | × | 15 | Oro-pharyngeal swab | 4 | 0.5 | WT | WT | S91(80)I & K144(134)R | WT |
| MM20-323 | 2020 | M. hominis | × | 42 | Vaginal swab | - | 0.25 | WT | WT | S91(80)N & A154(144)V | WT |
| MM21-219 | 2021 | M. hominis | ≥ | 31 | Endocervical swab | 2 | 0.5 | WT | WT | K144(134)R | WT |
| MM21-230 | 2021 | M. hominis | × | 38 | Vaginal swab | - | 0.25 | WT | WT | WT | ND |
| MM21-258 | 2021 | M. hominis | \geq | 25 | Vaginal swab | - | 0.125 | WT | WT | S91(80)C | ND |
| ATCC 27815 | Reference strain | U. parvum | | | | 0.5 | 0.25 | WT | WT | WT | WT |
| MM20-014 | 2020 | U. parvum | ≥ | 25 | Placenta | 4 | - | WT | WT | S83(80)L | WT |
| MM20-179 | 2020 | U. parvum | ≥ | 31 | Vaginal swab | 4 | - | WT | WT | S83(80)L | WT |
| MM20-218 | 2020 | U. parvum | \geq | 48 | Vaginal swab | 4 | - | WT | WT | S83(80)L | WT |
| MM20-267 | 2020 | U. parvum | ≥ | 30 | Vaginal swab | 2 | - | WT | WT | S83(80)L | WT |
| MM20-279 | 2020 | U. parvum | ≥ | 20 | Vaginal swab | 2 | - | WT | WT | S83(80)L | WT |
| MM20-338 | 2020 | U. parvum | × | 32 | Vaginal swab | 4 | - | WT | ND | S83(80)L | WT |
| MM20-369 | 2020 | U. parvum | × | 24 | Vaginal swab | 2 | - | WT | WT | WT | WT |
| MM20-396 | 2020 | U. parvum | × | 47 | Vaginal swab | 4 | - | WT | WT | S83(80)L | WT |
| MM20-401 | 2020 | U. parvum | × | 49 | Vaginal swab | 2 | - | WT | WT | WT | WT |
| MM21-109 | 2021 | U. parvum | × | 25 | Vaginal swab | 8 < | 8 | WT | D443(427)N | S83(80)L | WT |
| MM21-200 | 2021 | U. parvum | Σ | 39 | Semen | 4 | - | ND | WT | E87(84)K | WT |
| MM21-229 | 2021 | U. parvum | \geq | 57 | Vaginal swab | 80 | 8 | WT | WT | S83(80)L | WT |
| MM21-237 | 2021 | U. parvum | ≥ | 58 | Vaginal swab | 2 | | WT | WT | S83(80)L | WT |
| MM21-247 | 2021 | U. parvum | × | 52 | Vaginal swab | 2 | 0.5 | WT | WT | S83(80)L | WT |
| MM21-271 | 2021 | U. parvum | ≥ | 45 | Vaginal swab | 4 | 2 | WT | WT | S83(80)L | WT |
| ATCC 27618 | Reference strain | U. urealyticum | | | | - | 0.5 | WT | WT | WT | WT |
| MM20-178 | 2020 | U. urealyticum | × | 20 | Vaginal swab | 8 | 2 | WT | WT | S83(80)L | ND |
| MM21-042 | 2021 | U. urealyticum | Σ | 31 | Semen | 8 | 4 | WT | WT | S83(80)L | WT |
| MM21-048 | 2021 | U. urealyticum | Σ | 34 | Semen | 2 | - | WT | WT | WT | ND |
| ^d LVX, levofloxac ^b lsolates resistal ParC, and ParE r | in; MXF, moxifloxacin; M, m nt to levofloxacin accordin; esidues are numbered accc | ian; W, woman; WT, w g to CLSI are presente ording to <i>M. hominis</i> c | ild type; l d in bold or <i>Ureaplo</i> | ND, not detern . Discrepancie s <i>ma</i> species n | nined. s between the phenotypic sus umbering with <i>E. coli</i> number | sceptibility and ing in parenth | d presence of mutati leses. | ons associat | ed with levofloxacir | ı resistance are highlighted in gr | ay. GyrA, GyrB |

.

÷

powders, preparation of accurate dilution ranges according to the tested antibiotics, and distribution of each antibiotic dilution in plate wells. Moreover, the MICRONAUT-S plates avoid the risk of antibiotic dilution errors and allow the MICs of eight antibiotics of interest to be determined simultaneously. In addition, MICRONAUT-S plates could be preserved at room temperature (15–25°C) for more than a year. These commodities come at significant increase in cost because the MICRONAUT-S plate price is approximately 15 euros excluding taxes for eight MIC determinations.

Resistance prevalence

In *M. hominis*, the overall tetracycline resistance for 2020–2021 (11.1%, 10/90) was not significantly different from that previously reported for 2010–2015 (14.8%, 27/183, P = 0.4) (12); the same was true for levofloxacin and moxifloxacin. The resistance rates for tetracycline and fluoroquinolone against *M. hominis* are also in accordance with those reported in England and Wales (5, 6). However, between the two *Ureaplasma* species, tetracycline resistance was significantly higher in *U. urealyticum* than in *U. parvum* in 2020 (10.7% vs. 0%, P < 0.01) and 2021 (12.5% vs. 2.4%, P = 0.02) (Table 3). To our knowledge, such a significant difference has only been reported once in the literature (9), but only a few studies have accurately investigated this point in *U. parvum* and *U. urealyticum* since the two *Ureaplasma* species, have been separated (3, 8, 18). Interestingly, in both *Ureaplasma* species, the overall rates for levofloxacin and moxifloxacin resistance were 4.7% (15/316) and 0.9% (3/316) in 2020–2021 (Tables S9 and S10), which were significantly higher than 1.2% (10/831, P < 0.001) and 0.1% (1/831, P < 0.05) in our similar previous study in 2010–2015, respectively (12).

Our results are in line with data from other European countries, Cuba, and the USA, in which levofloxacin resistance has ranged between 0% and 7.1% (3, 4, 8, 9, 19–21). By contrast, two studies from China have reported dramatically higher levofloxacin resistance rates of 47.5% and 84.7% (2, 7), which may be associated with the intensive use of fluoroquinolones in China.

Tetracycline-resistant U. urealyticum isolates not harboring the tet(M) gene

A total of 8.8% (6/68) *U. urealyticum* tetracycline-resistant isolates with MICs ranging between 2 and 4 μ g/mL did not harbor the *tet*(M) gene. We did not search for tetracycline resistance-associated mutations in the 16S rRNA, so they cannot be ruled out. To the best of our knowledge, these mutations have not yet been reported from clinical isolates from humans but are frequently associated with tetracycline resistance in animal *Mycoplasma* species (1). In addition, *in vitro* selection studies in *M. hominis* have selected low-level tetracycline-resistant mutants harboring one to three mutations in the 16S rRNA gene (22).

Molecular characterization of fluoroquinolone-resistant isolates

Of the two *M. hominis* isolates resistant to levofloxacin and moxifloxacin, one (MM20–002) (Table 4) harbored the S80I mutation, which has previously been reported to be associated with resistance (2, 23), whereas the other (MM21–219) harbored only a K134R mutation in ParC, which was not located in the QRDR (23). This mutation was not associated with fluoroquinolone resistance in four other *M. hominis* isolates in our study, and it was previously concluded that this substitution is a nonresistance polymorphism (5). Thus, the mechanism underlying fluoroquinolone resistance in MM21–219 remains unknown. Overexpression of putative efflux pumps, previously reported in *in vitro*-selected strains, should be investigated (24, 25).

In *Ureaplasma* spp., besides ParC E84K, which has previously been associated with levofloxacin resistance (2, 4, 8, 10, 23), the S80L mutation was the most frequent mutation causing fluoroquinolone resistance in our study. This mutation has been reported worldwide and is usually associated with levofloxacin MICs of >4 μ g/mL (2, 4, 8, 10, 12, 26, 27). However, in many studies, only phenotypically resistant isolates, i.e., with a levofloxacin MIC of >4 μ g/mL, have been sequenced. Here, we sequenced isolates

with a levofloxacin MIC of 2 µg/mL, which is the MIC breakpoint used to categorize an isolate as susceptible. Of the seven Ureaplasma isolates with a levofloxacin MIC of 2 µg/mL, four U. parvum isolates harbored the S80L mutation associated with levofloxacin resistance (Table 4). Comparing the MICRONAUT-S and CLSI reference methods, one isolate (U. parvum 6793) harbored the S80L mutation, and the levofloxacin MIC differed between the two methods (4 and 2 µg/mL using the CLSI and MICRONAUT-S methods, respectively). Therefore, we checked the levofloxacin MICs of these four isolates using the CLSI method in parallel with the MICRONAUT-S method using the same strain inoculum. Both methods gave identical levofloxacin MICs for isolates MM21-237 and MM21-247 (2 µg/mL, i.e., susceptible) and isolate MM20-267 (4 µg/mL, i.e., resistant), whereas for isolate MM20–279 the levofloxacin MIC was 4 μ g/mL with the CLSI method and 2 μ g/mL with the MICRONAUT-S method. Because the S80L mutation is consistently associated with levofloxacin resistance in the literature (2, 4, 8, 10, 12, 26, 27) and because the levofloxacin MIC was only one dilution below the levofloxacin-resistant breakpoint, these four isolates were considered levofloxacin resistant to calculate the prevalence of levofloxacin resistance in the prevalence assessment. In addition, in complicated or severe Ureaplasma infections, we suggest that Ureaplasma isolates with a levofloxacin MIC of 2 µg/mL should benefit from parC gene QRDR sequencing to look for fluoroquinolone resistance-associated mutations whatever the MIC determination method used.

In conclusion, compared with the CLSI reference method, the MICRONAUT-S plates were a reliable, convenient tool for determining the MICs of *M. hominis* and *Ureaplasma* spp.. No acquired resistance to erythromycin or clindamycin was found in France in 2020–2021. The prevalence of tetracycline and fluoroquinolone resistance remained moderate overall, although that of the latter has significantly increased in *Ureaplasma* spp. since the 2010-2015 study. These results underline the need to monitor the antibiotic susceptibility of human urogenital mycoplasmas.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work was supported by internal funding from the French National Reference Center for bacterial STIs.

We thank Carla Balcon for providing technical assistance.

The following are members of the investigator group: Corentine Alauzet (Nancy), Gabriel Auger (Rennes), Julien Bador (Dijon), Guilène Barnaud (Colombes), Laura Billon (Albi), Julien Delmas (Clermont-Ferrand), Caroline Domergue (Toulouse), Claire Duployez (Lille), Pauline Floch (Toulouse), Sophie-Anne Gibaud (Nantes), Amandine Henry (Argenteuil), Emilie Rondinaud (Paris), Guilhem Royer (Créteil), Cécile Schanen (Caen), Loïc Sobanska (Chambéry), Sabine Trombert (St Ouen L'Aumone), and Charlie Zins (Montpellier).

AUTHOR AFFILIATIONS

¹UMR 5234 Fundamental Microbiology and Pathogenicity, CNRS, University of Bordeaux, Bordeaux, France

²Bacteriology Department, Bordeaux University Hospital, National Reference Centre for Bacterial Sexually Transmitted Infections, Bordeaux, France

AUTHOR ORCIDs

Sabine Pereyre b http://orcid.org/0000-0003-1757-4206 Cécile Bébéar b http://orcid.org/0000-0001-6065-2332

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

Sabine Pereyre, Conceptualization, Data curation, Methodology, Supervision, Validation, Writing – review and editing | Nadège Hénin, Investigation, Writing – original draft | Amandine Dolzy, Investigation | Jennifer Guiraud, Investigation, Methodology | Cécile Laurier-Nadalié, Data curation, Formal analysis | Marie Gardette, Data curation, Investigation | Cécile Bébéar, Conceptualization, Writing – review and editing.

ETHICS APPROVAL

Remnants of specimens were preserved at the Centre de Ressource Biologique-Bordeaux Biothèque Santé (CRB-BBS) of Bordeaux University Hospital under collection number BB-0033-00094 and authorization AC-2014-2166 from the French Ministry of Higher Education and Research with no information regarding patient identity. All patient data were reported anonymously.

ADDITIONAL FILES

The following material is available online.

Supplemental Material

Supplemental figures (JCM00226-24-s0001.pdf). Figures S1 to S3. Supplemental tables (JCM00226-24-s0002.pdf). Tables S1 to S10.

REFERENCES

- Pereyre S, Tardy F. 2021. Integrating the human and animal sides of mycoplasmas resistance to antimicrobials. Antibiotics (Basel) 10:1216. https://doi.org/10.3390/antibiotics10101216
- Yang T, Pan L, Wu N, Wang L, Liu Z, Kong Y, Ruan Z, Xie X, Zhang J. 2020. Antimicrobial resistance in clinical *Ureaplasma* spp. and *Mycoplasma hominis* and structural mechanisms underlying quinolone resistance. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 64:e02560-19. https://doi.org/10.1128/ AAC.02560-19
- 3. Valentine-King MA, Brown MB. 2017. Antibacterial resistance in *Ureaplasma* species and *Mycoplasma* hominis isolates from urine cultures in college-aged females. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 61:e01104-17. https://doi.org/10.1128/AAC.01104-17
- Dumke R. 2023. Antimicrobial resistance in clinical isolates of Ureaplasma spp. from samples in Germany. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 95:e02342–e02320. https://doi.org/10.1128/AAC.02342-20
- Sharratt M, Sands K, Portal EAR, Boostrom I, Mondeja BA, Rodríguez NM, Jones LC, Spiller OB. 2021. Defining fluoroquinolone resistancemediating mutations from non-resistance polymorphisms in *Mycoplasma hominis* topoisomerases. Antibiotics (Basel) 10:1379. https://doi.org/10.3390/antibiotics10111379
- Chalker VJ, Sharratt MG, Rees CL, Bell OH, Portal E, Sands K, Payne MS, Jones LC, Spiller OB. 2021. Tetracycline resistance mediated by *tet*(M) has variable integrative conjugative element composition in *Mycoplasma hominis* strains isolated in the United Kingdom from 2005 to 2015. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 65:e02513-20. https://doi.org/10.1128/ AAC.02513-20
- Zhao L, Liu A, Li R, Zhao S. 2020. Antimicrobial resistance, genetic characterization, and molecular epidemiology of *Ureaplasma* species in males with infertility. Eur J Clin Microbiol Infect Dis 39:2177–2183. https:/ /doi.org/10.1007/s10096-020-03969-7
- Fernández J, Karau MJ, Cunningham SA, Greenwood-Quaintance KE, Patel R. 2016. Antimicrobial susceptibility and clonality of clinical *Ureaplasma* isolates in the United States. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 60:4793–4798. https://doi.org/10.1128/AAC.00671-16
- Beeton ML, Chalker VJ, Jones LC, Maxwell NC, Spiller OB. 2016. Antibiotic resistance among clinical *Ureaplasma* isolates recovered from neonates in England and Wales between 2007 and 2013. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 60:52–56. https://doi.org/10.1128/AAC.00889-15
- Xiao L, Crabb DM, Duffy LB, Paralanov V, Glass JI, Waites KB. 2012. Chromosomal mutations responsible for fluoroquinolone resistance in *Ureaplasma* species in the United States. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 56:2780–2783. https://doi.org/10.1128/AAC.06342-11
- 11. Ahmadi MH. 2021. Resistance to tetracyclines among clinical isolates of *Mycoplasma hominis* and *Ureaplasma* species: a systematic review and

meta-analysis. J Antimicrob Chemother 76:865–875. https://doi.org/10.1093/jac/dkaa538

- Meygret A, Le Roy C, Renaudin H, Bébéar C, Pereyre S. 2018. Tetracycline and fluoroquinolone resistance in clinical *Ureaplasma* spp. and *Mycoplasma hominis* isolates in France between 2010 and 2015. J Antimicrob Chemother 73:2696–2703. https://doi.org/10.1093/jac/ dky238
- Waites KB, Bade DJ, Bébéar C, S.D. B, Davidson M, Duffy LB, Kenny GE, Matlow A, Shortridge D, Talkington DF, Totten PA, Watts JL, Zheng X. 2011. Methods for antimicrobial susceptibility testing for human mycoplasmas: approved guideline. Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute, Wayne (PA).
- Waites KB, Bébéar CM, Roberston JA, Talkington DF, Kenny GE. 2001. Cumitech 34. In Laboratory diagnosis of mycoplasmal infections. American Society for Microbiology, Washington D.C.
- Yi J, Yoon BH, Kim EC. 2005. Detection and biovar discrimination of Ureaplasma urealyticum by real-time PCR. Mol Cell Probes 19:255–260. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mcp.2005.04.002
- Rideau F, Le Roy C, Sagné E, Renaudin H, Pereyre S, Henrich B, Dordet-Frisoni E, Citti C, Lartigue C, Bébéar C. 2019. Random transposon insertion in the *Mycoplasma hominis* minimal genome. Sci Rep 9:13554. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-019-49919-y
- Waites KB, Bébéar CM. 2013. Chemotherapy of *Mycoplasma* and *Ureaplasma* infections. In Gahan C, Nightingale CH, Charles H (ed), Encyclopedia of pharmaceutical microbiology. Springer.
- Wen X, Nobakht MS, Yang Y, Kouhsari E, Hajilari S, Shakourzadeh MZ, Azizian K. 2023. Tetracyclines resistance in *Mycoplasma* and *Ureaplasma urogenital* isolates derived from human: a systematic review and metaanalysis. Ann Clin Microbiol Antimicrob 22:83. https://doi.org/10.1186/ s12941-023-00628-5
- Preval NMR, Spiller OB, Mondeja Rodríguez BA, Morales RS, Cintra YR, Rivera-Tapia JA. 2022. Antibiotic resistance among clinical *Ureaplasma* isolates from Cuban individuals between 2013 and 2018. J Med Microbiol 71:2. https://doi.org/10.1099/jmm.0.001477
- Morris DJ, Jones LC, Davies RL, Sands K, Portal E, Spiller OB. 2020. MYCO WELL D-ONE detection of *Ureaplasma* spp. and *Mycoplasma hominis* in sexual health patients in Wales. Eur J Clin Microbiol Infect Dis 39:2427– 2440. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10096-020-03993-7
- Wu Y, Majidzadeh N, Li Y, Zafar Shakourzadeh M, Hajilari S, Kouhsari E, Azizian K. 2024. Trends of fluoroquinolones resistance in *Mycoplasma* and *Ureaplasma urogenital* isolates: systematic review and meta-analysis. J Glob Antimicrob Resist 36:13–25. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jgar.2023. 11.007
- Dégrange S, Renaudin H, Charron A, Pereyre S, Bébéar C, Bébéar CM. 2008. Reduced susceptibility to tetracyclines is associated *in vitro* with

the presence of 16S rRNA mutations in *Mycoplasma hominis* and *Mycoplasma pneumoniae*. J Antimicrob Chemother 61:1390–1392. https://doi.org/10.1093/jac/dkn118

- Bébéar CM, Renaudin H, Charron A, Clerc M, Pereyre S, Bébéar C. 2003. DNA gyrase and topoisomerase IV mutations in clinical isolates of *Ureaplasma* spp. and *Mycoplasma hominis* resistant to fluoroquinolones. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 47:3323–3325. https://doi.org/10.1128/ AAC.47.10.3323-3325.2003
- Raherison S, Gonzalez P, Renaudin H, Charron A, Bébéar C, Bébéar CM.
 2002. Evidence of active efflux in resistance to ciprofloxacin and to ethidium bromide by *Mycoplasma hominis*. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 46:672–679. https://doi.org/10.1128/AAC.46.3.672-679.2002
- Raherison S, Gonzalez P, Renaudin H, Charron A, Bébéar C, Bébéar CM. 2005. Increased expression of two multidrug transporter-like genes is associated with ethidium bromide and ciprofloxacin resistance in *Mycoplasma hominis*. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 49:421–424. https:/ /doi.org/10.1128/AAC.49.1.421-424.2005
- 26. Kawai Y, Nakura Y, Wakimoto T, Nomiyama M, Tokuda T, Takayanagi T, Shiraishi J, Wasada K, Kitajima H, Fujita T, Nakayama M, Mitsuda N, Nakanishi I, Takeuchi M, Yanagihara I. 2015. *In vitro* activity of five quinolones and analysis of the quinolone resistance-determining regions of gyrA, gyrB, parC, and parE in Ureaplasma parvum and Ureaplasma urealyticum clinical isolates from perinatal patients in Japan. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 59:2358–2364. https://doi.org/10.1128/AAC.04262-14
- Beeton ML, Chalker VJ, Kotecha S, Spiller OB. 2009. Comparison of full gyrA, gyrB, parC and parE gene sequences between all Ureaplasma parvum and Ureaplasma urealyticum serovars to separate true fluoroquinolone antibiotic resistance mutations from non-resistance polymorphism. J Antimicrob Chemother 64:529–538. https://doi.org/10. 1093/jac/dkp218